

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/717,951	VAN VLIET ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Fred Prince	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Fred Prince.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Miriam Paton.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2006

Time: 11:15 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102/103

Claims discussed:

49

Prior art documents discussed:

US 2002/0179453 (Chambers)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's representative and the examiner discussed applicant's amended claim 49 and the Remarks submitted therewith. Applicant's arguments are considered persuasive relative to claims 51, 54 and 55. Applicant's representative agreed to add the limitations of claim 51 to claim 49 and cancel claim 51. A summary of the agreed upon changes is provided in the attached examiner's amendment. .